Argument Against Measure U

Two years ago City Hall politicians rushed this same type of gas tax measure to the ballot with no public input, and no transparency. These same politicians approved a no-bid contract spending $143,500 of your tax dollars on propaganda trying to sell their flawed, costly measure to the public. Rialto voters didn't buy it then – and shouldn't buy it now.

Measure U raises taxes at 27 times its current rate on fuel storage. This extreme tax hike will hurt our local economy risking local jobs and economic revenue our city and our communities depend on. Make no mistake – this hidden gas tax will force companies to leave our city taking tax revenue and jobs to another area.

Measure U will force fuel manufacturers to use storage tanker trucks to meet our energy needs, increasing truck traffic pollution and congesting local streets, roads, and highways. And these additional transportation costs will only serve to keep fuel prices at the pump higher.

Even worse, if fuel is trucked directly from the refineries, then it bypasses the need to be stored. With no stored fuel to tax, the City of Rialto will face an annual loss of 14 million dollars – money we all depend on for police and fire, libraries, street cleaning, infrastructure, and services for seniors and youth. Local businesses and taxpayers will have to make up the difference or the city could face more drastic budget cuts.

Stop more truck traffic. Stop more pollution. Protect local jobs, economic revenue for small businesses, and tax revenue for public safety, other services our communities depend on.

Join taxpayers, small businesses, and community leaders. Please Vote NO on Measure U and help to put a stop to the rising cost of fuel and the risk of additional taxes.

FORM OF STATEMENT TO BE FILED BY
AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9, Chapter 3 (beginning with 9200) of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following form statement to be signed by each proponent, and by each author, if different, of the argument:

The undersigned proponent (s) or author (s) of the (Primary/~~Rebuttal~~) argument (~~in favor of~~/against) the ball Measure __U__ at the (title of election) _GENERAL_ _____ election for th (jurisdiction)_City of Rialto_ to be held on _November 4, 2014_ hereby state that th argument is true and correct to the best of (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

Print Name _Donald R Knabe_	Signature _Donald R Knabe_
Title _Rialto City Resident_	Date _8/21/14_
Print Name _____	Signature _____
Title _____	Date _____
Print Name _____	Signature _____
Title _____	Date _____
Print Name _____	Signature _____
Title _____	Date _____
Print Name _____	Signature _____
Title _____	Date _____

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

ion 9600 of the Election Code requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following for
ment, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the ___PRIMARY___ argument
(primary or rebuttal)

___Against___ ballot proposition (measure) __U__ at the ___GENERAL___
(in favor of or against)   (letter)   (title of election)

election for the ___City of Rialto___ to be held on ___November 4Th 2014___
(jurisdiction)   (date of election)

hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of _____
(his/her/their)

knowledge and belief.

Proponent's/Author's:

Signature:	Date:

1. _Donald R Knab_   _8/21/14_
   (Signature)   (Date)

Donald R Knabe   _Rialto City Resident_
   (Print Name)   (Title)

2. _____   _____
   (Signature)   (Date)

_____   _____
   (Print Name)   (Title)

3. _____   _____
   (Signature)   (Date)

_____   _____
   (Print Name)   (Title)

4. _____   _____
   (Signature)   (Date)

_____   _____
   (Print Name)   (Title)

5. _____   _____
   (Signature)   (Date)

_____   _____
   (Print Name)   (Title)